arXiv:1702.04831v1 [math.RT] 16 Feb 2017

RATIONAL COHOMOLOGY OF UNIPOTENT GROUP SCHEMES

ERIC M. FRIEDLANDER*

Abstract. We investigate the rational cohomology algebra of various unipotent group schemes defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. For the r-th Fobenius kernel $U_{(r)}$ of many unipotent algebraic groups U, we construct an algebra $\overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ given by explicit generators and relations together with a map $\overline{\eta}_{U,r} : \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \to H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ of graded k-algebras which is an isomorphism modulo nilpotents. To achieve our computations, we refine more qualitative and more generally applicable results of A. Suslin, C. Bendel, and the author. Our techniques incorporate the interplay between Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences applied to the descending central series of U and spectral sequences introduced by H. Andersen and J. Jantzen. Our work is motivated by the challenge of understanding the stabilization with respect to r of the cohomology $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ and the natural map $H^*(U, k) \to \varprojlim_r H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$.

Contents

0. Introduction 1
1. Spectral Sequences and Nilpotence 3
2. Constructions of Maps to Cohomology 11
3. Determination of $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ modulo nilpotents 16
4. Stabilization with Respect to r 19
References 25

0. Introduction

The rational cohomology $H^*(G, k)$ of a (connected, reduced) simple algebraic group G over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ is trivial (cf. [7]). On the other hand, the rational cohomology $H^*(\mathbb{G}_a, k)$ of the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a$ can be identified with the exterior algebra on a countable vector space in degree 1 tensor a symmetric algebra on the (Bockstein applied to) the same vector space placed in degree 2 if $p \neq 2$. Thus suggests that unipotent algebraic groups have interesting cohomology. Indeed, our original motivation in pursuing calculations of the cohomology of unipotent algebraic groups was to relate this cohomology to our recent theory of support varieties for such groups (see [8], [9]). In some sense, the computations provided in this paper suggest that rational cohomology $H^*(G, k)$ is inadequate for

Date: February 17, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G05, 20C20, 20G10.
Key words and phrases. rational cohomology, unipotent algebraic groups.
* partially supported by the NSA.

a theory of support varieties not only for G simple but also for unipotent algebraic groups (see Theorem 4.3 for example). This will be further explored in [10].

The cohomology of groups has played an important role in various aspect of topology, number theory, and algebraic geometry. Our initial interest was generated by the foundational work of D. Quillen [21], [22] and the connections with algebraic K-theory as also developed by Quillen [23]. Subsequently, thanks to the work of many mathematicians beginning with J. Alperin -L. Evens [2], J. Carlson [5], and E. Cline - B. Parshall - L. Scott (e.g, [6]), cohomology of groups has evolved into a useful tool ("support varieties") for the study of representations of finite group schemes. We would be amiss not to mention work of the author with B. Parshall (e.g., [11]), A. Suslin (e.g., [13]), and J. Pevtsova (e.g., [12]). Despite the efforts of many, there is a dearth of explicit computations of the cohomology of infinitesimal group schemes of height > 1.

In the papers [29], [30], Andrei Suslin, Christopher Bendel, and the author provide general qualitative information about the rational cohomology $H^*(G_{(r)}, k)$ of the r-th Frobenius kernel $G_{(r)} = ker\{F^r : G \to G^{(r)}\}$ of an affine algebraic group G. However, this information gives little information about the natural map $H^*(G_{(r+1)}, k) \to H^*(G_{(r)}, k)$ induced by $G_{(r)} \subset G_{(r+1)}$ or the relationship to $H^*(G, k)$. It is natural to investigate $\varprojlim_r H^*(G_{(r)}, k)$, which one might view as the "continuous cohomology" of G.

In this paper, we provide cohomological information about $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$, $\varprojlim_r H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$, and $H^*(U, k) \to \varprojlim_r H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ for U an arbitrary term of the descending central series of the unipotent radical U_J of a parabolic subgroup P_J of a simple algebraic group over k. The effect of increasing the height r of the Frobenius kernel $U_{(r)}$ is surprising (see, for example, Corollary 4.4). Nevertheless, even in the "simplest non-trivial example" of $U = U_3$, the Heisenberg group, we are unable to provide an explicit description of the cohomology algebra of $H^*(U_3, k)$.

Our approach in this paper is to build a "model" $\overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \to H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ is an isomorphism modulo nilpotents with $\overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ given by generators and relations (see Theorem 3.1); in other words, $(S^*(U_{(r)}))_{red} \simeq H^*(U_{(r)}, k)_{red}$. In the special case $U = U_3$ or more generally of $U = U_N \subset SL_N$, our model is an improvement of that implicit in [29] which is based on the universal classes in $H^*(GL_{N(r)}, k)$ constructed in [13] by A. Suslin and the author. The analysis of this model involves computations using the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences associated to the descending central series for $U_{(r)}$ and the Andersen-Jantzen spectral sequences arising from a natural filtration of the Hochschild complex which computes $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$.

One conclusion we derive from our model is that the limiting (with respect to r) cohomology algebra modulo nilpotents of the abelianization $(U/\Gamma_2)_{(r)}$ of $U_{(r)}$ maps surjectively onto the limiting cohomology $\varprojlim_r \{H^*(U_{(r)}, k)_{red}\}$ (see Theorem 4.3).

Much of the challenge in achieving our computations as well as achieving better computations in the future involves the detection of non-nilpotent classes in $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ and $H^*(U, k)$. For example, we show that $H^*(U, k) \to \varprojlim_r H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ is an isomorphism for a wide class of unipotent groups U, but we do not know even for the special case of $U = U_3$ whether or not there exist "mock nilpotent classes" $\zeta \in H^*(U, k)$ which are not nilpotent but whose restriction $\zeta_r \in H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ is nilpotent for each $r > 0$.

Rather than restate in this introduction the main results found in this paper, we refer the reader to the table of contents and to the statements of results in the text highlighted by the name "theorem".

In what follows, k denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 2$. For a commutative algebra A, we denote by A_{red} the quotient of A by its nilradical (the ideal of all nilpotent elements of A). We denote by $H^*(G,k)$ the (Hochschild) cohomology of an affine group scheme G over k and by $H^\bullet(G,k) \subset H^*(G,k)$ the commutative subalgebra of cohomology classes of even degree.

We thank Robert Guralnick for useful discussions and for providing us with [14], and Paul Sobaje for providing further references.

1. Spectral Sequences and Nilpotence

Let G be a simple algebraic group, provided with a choice of maximal torus $T \subset G$ and set $\Pi \subset \Sigma$ of simple roots determining the decomposition $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \cup \Sigma^-$. We let $B \subset G$ be the Borel subgroup of G containing T whose root subgroups are indexed by Σ^-. For each subset $J \subset \Pi$, we consider the associated parabolic subgroup $P = P_J$ (containing B) with Levi factor L_J and unipotent radical U_J. We shall investigate the cohomology of some closed algebraic subgroup $U \subset U_J$, a subgroup occurring in the descending central series for U_J.

For any algebraic group G over k, the r-th iterate $F^r : G \to G^{(r)}$ of the Frobenius map $F : G \to G^{(1)}$ admits a scheme theoretic kernel $G_{(r)} \equiv ker\{F^r\}$ which is an infinitesimal group scheme of height r. The coordinate algebra $k[G_{(r)}]$ of G equals the finite dimensional commutative Hopf algebra $k[G]/I^{p^r}$ where I is the maximal ideal at the identity of G. A (rational) $G_{(r)}$-module is a comodule for $k[G_{(r)}]$ or, equivalently, a module for $kG_{(r)} \equiv (k[G_{(r)}])^\#$ (the k-linear dual of $k[G_{(r)}]$ with its inherited Hopf algebra structure). In particular, for G defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}$, we may view the Frobenius map as an endomorphism of G and we view $G_{(r)} \subset U$ as the kernel of $F^r : G \to G$.

We start with the known computation of $H^*(\mathbb{G}_a,k)$ and $H^*(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)},k)$ (see, for example, [7]):

$$H^*(\mathbb{G}_a,k) \simeq S^*(V[2]) \otimes \Lambda^*(V[1]), \tag{1.0.1}$$

where $V = H^1(\mathbb{G}_a,k)$ is a countable k-vector space spanned by $y_1, y_2, \dots y_s \dots$ with Frobenius action $F^*(y_s) = y_{s+1}$, $\Lambda^*(V([1])$ is the exterior algebra on V placed in degree 1, and $S^*(V[2])$ is the polynomial algebra on V placed in degree 2 spanned by $x_1 = \beta(y_1), x_2 = \beta(y_2) \dots, x_s = \beta(y_s), \dots$ where $\beta : H^1(\mathbb{G}_a,k) \to H^2(\mathbb{G}_a,k)$ is the Bockstein homomorphism. The action of multiplication by $c \in k$ on $\mathbb{G}_a$ induces an action on $H^*(\mathbb{G}_a,k)$ given by $c^*(y_i) = c^{p^{i-1}} y_i,\ c^*(x_i) = c^{p^i} x_i$. We recall that the cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)},k)$ of the r^{th} Frobenius kernel $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ of $\mathbb{G}_a$ can be identified as the quotient of $H^*(\mathbb{G}_a,k)$ obtained by setting $y_s = 0 = x_s$ for $s > r$. This finitely generated cohomology algebra admits the natural action of the mod-p Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_p$ (see [30, 1.7] for an explicit description of the action of the generators $\mathcal{P}^i, \beta\mathcal{P}^i$ of $\mathcal{A}_p$ on $H^*(\mathbb{G}_a,k)$).

Before considering the notationally complex case of the unipotent radical U of a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, we first consider the special case of the unipotent radical U_3 of the Borel subgroup $B_3 \subset SL_3$ of lower triangular matrices. We remind the reader of our standing assumption that $p > 2$.

We consider the lower central series of U_3,

$$1 \to \Gamma_2 \simeq \mathbb{G}_a \ \to U_3 \ \to U_3/\Gamma_2 \simeq \mathbb{G}_a^2 \to 1. \tag{1.0.2}$$

Here, a choice of ordering of $\Pi = \{\alpha, \alpha'\}$ (setting $\alpha = \alpha_{1,2}, \alpha' = \alpha_{2,3}$) determines the identification $U_3/\Gamma_2 \simeq \mathbb{G}_a^2$; let $\beta = \alpha + \alpha'$.

The indexing above is related to the indexing in Proposition 1.1 as follows: $y_i \in H^1(\mathbb{G}_a, k)$ corresponds to $y_\beta^{(i-1)} \in H^1(\Gamma_2, k)$.

Proposition 1.1. *Assume that $p > 2$. Consider the T_3-equivariant Lyndon-Hochshild-Serre spectral sequence* [15] *for the extension (1.0.2):*

$$E_2^{a,b}(U_3) \ = \ H^a(U_3/\Gamma_2, k) \otimes H^b(\Gamma_2, k) \ \Rightarrow H^{a+b}(U_3, k). \tag{1.1.1}$$

Designate generators for $H^*(U_2/\Gamma_2, k)$ by $y_\alpha^{(\ell)}, y_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)}$, $\ell \geq 0$ of cohomological degree 1 and T_3-weights $p^\ell\alpha, p^\ell\alpha'$ respectively; and $x_\alpha^{(\ell)}, x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)}$, $\ell \geq 0$ of cohomological degree 2 and T_3-weights $p^{\ell+1}\alpha, p^{\ell+1}\alpha'$ respectively. Similarly, denote the generators of $H^*(\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3, k)$ by $y_\beta^{(\ell)}$ and $x_\beta^{(\ell)}$ for $\ell \geq 0$.

Then for any $\ell \geq 0$

1. $d_2^{0,1}(y_\beta^{(\ell)}) \ = \ y_\alpha^{(\ell)} \wedge y_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)}$; $(\mathcal{P}^{p^{j-1}} \circ \mathcal{P}^{p^{j-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{P}^1 \circ \beta\mathcal{P}^0)(y_\beta^{(\ell)}) \ = \ (x_\beta^{(\ell)})^{p^j}$.
2. $d_{2p^j}^{0,2p^j}((x_\beta^{(\ell)})^{p^j}) \ = \ (x_\alpha^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_{\alpha'}^{(\ell+1+j)} - (x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_\alpha^{(\ell+1+j)}$.
3. $\beta\mathcal{P}^{p^j}((x_\alpha^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_{\alpha'}^{(\ell+1+j)} - (x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_\alpha^{(\ell+1+j)})$ *equals*

$$-(x_\alpha^{(\ell)})^{p^{j+1}} \cdot x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell+1+j)} \ + \ (x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)})^{p^{j+1}} \cdot x_\alpha^{(\ell+1+j)} \ \in E_2^{2p^{j+1},0}(U_3). \tag{1.1.2}$$

Consequently, $(x_\beta^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \in H^\bullet(\Gamma_2, k)$ does not lie in the image of $H^\bullet(U_3, k)$ and (1.1.2) in $H^\bullet(U_3/\Gamma_2, k)$ maps to 0 in $H^\bullet(U_3, k)$.

The restriction map from the spectral sequence (1.1.1) to the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{a,b}(U_{3(r)}) \ = \ H^a((\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2)_{(r)}, k) \otimes H^b((\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3)_{(r)}, k) \ \Rightarrow \ H^{a+b}((U_3)_{(r)}, k). \tag{1.1.3}$$

sends $(x_\beta^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \in E_2^{0,2p^j}(U_3)$ to a permanent cycle in $E_2^{0,2p^j}((U_3)_{(r)})$ provided that $j \geq r - \ell$.

Proof. The fact that $d_2^{0,1}(y_\beta^{(\ell)})$ equals $y_\alpha^{(\ell)} \wedge y_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)}$ follows from the well known identification of the extension class of each

$$(\mathbb{G}_a)_{(i)}/(\mathbb{G}_a)_{(i-1)} \ \to \ (U_3)_{(i)}/(U_3)_{(i-1)} \ \to \ (\mathbb{G}_a^{\times 2})_{(i)}/(\mathbb{G}_a^{\times 2})_{(i-1)}$$

together with an elementary T_3-weight argument. The second equality in (1) follows from the equality $x_\beta^{(\ell)} = (\beta\mathcal{P}^0)(y_\beta^{(\ell)})$ for $j = 0$; for $j > 0$, this equality follows from the Cartan formula and the fact that $\mathcal{P}^{p^{i-1}}$ on $x^{p^{-1}}$ equals x^{p^i} for x of degree 2.

Assertion (2) for $j = 0$ follows easily from the equality $x_\beta^{(\ell)} = (\beta\mathcal{P}^0)(y_\beta^{(\ell)})$, the fact that transgression commutes with the Steenrod operations ([17]), and the Cartan formula for evaluating $\beta\mathcal{P}^0$ on a product. For $j > 0$, we use Cartan formula in conjunction with the fact that $(x_\beta)^{p^j} \ = \ (\mathcal{P}^{p^j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{P}^1 \circ \beta\mathcal{P}^0)(y_\beta^{(i)})$ and the fact for x of degree 2 that $\mathcal{P}^{p^j}$ on x^{p^j} equals $x^{p^{j+1}}$.

The computation of assertion (3) follows from the Cartan formula for $\beta\mathcal{P}^{p^j}$ applied to products of the form $x \otimes y$ and the values of $\mathcal{P}^i$ and $\beta\mathcal{P}^i$ given in [30, 1.7].

Because the value of the differential in assertion (2) is non-zero, we immediately conclude that $(x_\beta^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \in H^\bullet(\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3, k)$ does not lie in the image of $H^\bullet(U_N/\Gamma_3, k)$,

Because $(x_\alpha^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_{\alpha'}^{(\ell+1+j)} - (x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_\alpha^{(\ell+1+j)}$ is a boundary in the spectral sequence, this class maps to 0 under the map $H^*(\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2, k) \to H^\bullet(U_N/\Gamma_3, k)$. Since the latter map commutes with the action of the Steenrod algebra, we conclude that (1.1.2) also maps to 0.

Finally, the assertion about the restriction of (1.1.1) to (1.1.3) follows from the observation that the restriction of classes $y_\beta^{(\ell+1+j)}$ are 0 whenever $j \geq r - \ell$. □

We next recall from [3] the description due to H. Azad, M. Barry, and G. Seitz of the terms of the descending central series of the unipotent radical U_J of $P_J \subset G$ for some $J \subset \Pi$. For a positive root $\beta \in \Sigma^+ - \Sigma_J^+$ (where Σ^+ is the set of positive roots for the root system of $G \subset B \subset T$ and Σ_J^+ is the set of positive roots for the root system of $L_J \supset B_J \supset T_J$), we adopt the terminology of [3]: write $\beta = \beta_J + \beta_{J'}$ where β_J is a sum $\sum_i c_i\alpha_i$ with each $\alpha_i \in J$ and $\beta_{J'}$ is a sum $\sum_j d_j\alpha_j$ with each $\alpha_j \in \Pi - J$; then the height of β is defined to be $\sum_i c_i + \sum_j d_j$, the level of β is defined to be $\sum_j d_j$ and the shape of β is defined to be $\beta_{J'}$.

Proposition 1.2. *(summary of §2 of* [3]*) Let G be a simple algebraic group, and $P = P_J \subset G$ a parabolic subgroup, L_J its Levi factor, and U_J its unipotent radical. If G is of type G_2, assume $p > 3$. Consider the descending central series for U:*

$$\cdots \subset \Gamma_{v+1} = [U_J, \Gamma_v] \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_2 = [U_J, U_J] \subset \Gamma_1 = U_J.$$

For any $v > i$, we have the central extension with a natural action of L_J:

$$1 \to \Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1} \to U/\Gamma_{v+1} \to U/\Gamma_v \to 1. \tag{1.2.1}$$

The commutative group Γ_v/Γ_{v+1} is a direct product of irreducible L_J-modules $V_\mathcal{S}$ indexed by shapes $\mathcal{S}$ of level v; each $V_\mathcal{S}$ is T isomorphic to a product of $U_{-\beta}$ indexed by $\beta \in \Sigma^+ - \Sigma_J^+$ of shape $\mathcal{S}$ and level v, where $U_{-\beta}$ is the root subgroup with T-weight $-\beta$; $V_\mathcal{S}$ is a high weight L_J-module with highest weight $-\beta_\mathcal{S}^o$, where $\beta_\mathcal{S}^o$ is the unique root of minimal height and shape $\mathcal{S}$.

In particular, if P is the minimal parabolic (i.e., equal to the given Borel subgroup $B \subset G$), then Γ_v/Γ_{v+1} is T-isomorphic to $\prod U_{-\beta}$ where the product is indexed by $\beta \in \Sigma^+$ of length v.

We now formulate the generalization of Proposition 1.1 to the central extensions occurring in the descending central series for unipotent radicals U of parabolic subgroups $P \subset G$.

Proposition 1.3. *Retain the hypotheses and notation of Proposition 1.2, choose some term $U \equiv \Gamma_i(U_J)$, and consider the descending central series for U:*

$$\cdots \subset \Gamma_{v+1} = [U, \Gamma_v] \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_2 = [U, U] \subset \Gamma_1 = U.$$

For some $v > 1$, consider the L-H-S spectral sequence

$$E_2^{a,b}(U/\Gamma_{v+1}) \;=\; H^a(U/\Gamma_v, k) \otimes H^b(\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1}, k) \;\Rightarrow\; H^{a+b}(U/\Gamma_{v+1}, k). \tag{1.3.1}$$

Let $\{y_\alpha^{(\ell)}\}$ be a basis to T-eigenvectors of $H^1(U/\Gamma_v, k)$ with T-weight $p^\ell \cdot \alpha$ as α runs through weights of $(\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v)^\#$ and $\ell \geq 0$; let $x_\alpha^{(\ell)} \in H^2(U/\Gamma_v, k)$ denote the Bockstein of $y_\alpha^{(\ell)}$ with T-weight $p^{\ell+1} \cdot \alpha$; let $\{y_\beta^{(\ell)}\}$ be a basis of T-eigenvectors of $H^1(\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1}, k)$ with T-weight $p^\ell \cdot \beta$ as β runs through weights of $(\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^\#$ and $\ell \geq 0$; and let $x_\beta^{(\ell)}$ denote the Bockstein of $y_\beta^{(\ell)}$.

(1) $d_2^{0,1}(y_\beta^{(\ell)}) \;=\; \sum c_{\alpha,\alpha'} y_\alpha^{(\ell)} \wedge y_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)} \;\neq 0$, *where the sum is indexed by pairs* α, α' *of weights in* $(\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v)^\#$ *(i.e., hence, roots in* $\Sigma^+ - \Sigma_J^+$*) such that* $\beta = \alpha + \alpha'$.

(2) $d_{2p^j}^{0,2p^j}((x_\beta^{(\ell)})^{p^j}) \;=\; \sum c_{\alpha,\alpha'}\{(x_\alpha^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_{\alpha'}^{(\ell+1+j)} - (x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_\alpha^{(\ell+1+j)}\}$.

(3) $\beta\mathcal{P}^{p^j}(\sum c_{\alpha,\alpha'}\{(x_\alpha^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_{\alpha'}^{(\ell+1+j)} - (x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \otimes y_\alpha^{(\ell+1+j)}\})$ *equals*

$$\sum c_{\alpha,\alpha'}\{(x_\alpha^{(\ell)})^{p^{j+1}} \otimes x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell+1+j)} - (x_{\alpha'}^{(\ell)})^{p^{j+1}} \otimes x_\alpha^{(\ell+1+j)}\} \tag{1.3.2}$$

Consequently,

$$(x_\beta^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \;\in\; H^\bullet(\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1}, k) \;=\; E_2^{0,2p^j}(U/\Gamma_{v+1})$$

does not lie in the image of $H^\bullet(U/\Gamma_{v+1}, k)$ *and (1.3.2) in* $H^{2p^{j+1}+2}(U/\Gamma_v, k) \;=\; E_2^{2p^{j+1}+2,0}(\Gamma_v)$ *maps to 0 in* $H^\bullet(U/\Gamma_{v+1}k)$.

Moreover, the restriction map from the spectral sequence (1.3.1) to the spectral sequence

(1.3.3)

$$E_2^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}) \;=\; H^*(U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}, k) \otimes H^*((\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}, k) \;\Rightarrow\; H^*((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}, k).$$

sends $(x_\beta^{(\ell)})^{p^j} \in E_2^{0,2p^j}(U/\Gamma_{v+1})$ *to a permanent cycle in* $E_2^{0,2p^j}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)})$ *provided that* $j \geq r - \ell$.

Proof. The fact that $d_2^{0,1}(y_\beta^{(\ell)})$ must have weight $p^\ell \cdot \beta$ and cohomological degree 2 implies statement (1) except that it does not justify the assertion that each $c_{\alpha,\alpha'} \neq 0$. This non-vanishing follows from the fact that U/Γ_{v+1} has a subquotient isomorphic to U_3 given as an extension of $U_{-\alpha} \times U_{-\alpha'}$ by $U_{-\beta}$

Statements (2) and (3) are verified exactly as in the proof of Proposition 1.1, as are the assertions concerning the restriction map from (1.3.1) to (1.3.3). □

Recall that (Γ_v/Γ_{v+1}) is L_J-equivariantly isomorphic to the vector group $\prod V_{\mathcal{S}}$ where the product is taken over shapes of level exactly v. Consequently, we have an L_J-equivariant identification

$$(Lie(\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1}))^\# \;\equiv\; (\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^\# \simeq \oplus_{\mathcal{S}} V_{\mathcal{S}}^\#.$$

For a given $\ell \geq 0$, the span of $\{y_\beta^{(\ell)}, \beta \in (\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^\#\}$ in $H^1(\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1}, k)$ can be identified with $(\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(\ell)}$ as an L_J-module and the span of $\{x_\beta^{(\ell)}, \beta \in (\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^\#\}$ in $H^2(\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1}, k)$ can be identified with $(\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(\ell+1)}$ as an L_J-module .

Corollary 1.4. *For each* $\ell \geq 1$,

$$((\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(\ell+1)}[2])^{p^{r-\ell-1}} \;\subset\; E_\infty^{0,2p^{r-\ell-1}}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}) = H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}((\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}, k)$$

consists of permanent cycles and thus lies in the image of $H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}, k) \;\to\; H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}((\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}, k)$. *On the other hand, the differential* $d_{2p^{r-\ell-2}+1}^{0,2p^{r-\ell-2}}$ *is injective on* $((\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(\ell+1)}[2])^{p^{r-\ell-2}}$.

Consequently, $S^*(((\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(\ell+1)}[2])^{p^{r-\ell-1}}) \subset E_\infty^{0,*}$ *is an isomorphism modulo nilpotents.*

Proof. Proposition 1.3 and the fact that the roots of γ_v/γ_{v+1} are distinct imply that $d_{2p^{r-\ell-2}+1}^{0,2p^{r-\ell-2}}$ is injective on $((\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(\ell+1)})^{p^{r-\ell-2}}$ and $d_{0,2p^{r-\ell-1}+1}^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}$ vanishes on $((\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(\ell+1)})^{p^{r-\ell-1}}$.

Because the differentials in the spectral sequence are derivations, we conclude that the algebra map $S^*(((\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(\ell+1)}[2])^{p^{r-\ell-1}}) \to E_\infty^{0,\infty}$ is not only injective but is an isomorphism modulo nilpotents. □

We recall the following A-J spectral sequence of [1], an extension of a spectral sequence first considered by B. Parshall and the author in [11] which in turn arose from a spectral sequence introduced by J.P. May in [20].

Proposition 1.5. [16, §9] *Let G be an irreducible affine group scheme (over k) and let $I_1 \subset k[G]$ denote the maximal ideal at the identity of G. The filtration of $k[G]$ by powers of I_1 determines an associated graded commutative coalgebra which is the coordinate algebra of the vector group scheme $gr(G)$. For any rational G-module M there is a naturally associated convergent spectral sequence*

$$ {}^{AJ}E_1^{i,j}(G) \;=\; H^{i+j}(gr(G),k)_i \otimes M \;\Rightarrow\; H^{i+j}(G,M), \tag{1.5.1}$$

where $H^*(gr(G),k)$ is the cohomology algebra of the i^{th} graded summand of the Hochschild complex of $gr(G)$.

Assume G is a linear algebraic group and $p \neq 2$. Then ${}^{AJ}E_1^{i,j}(G)$ can be identified with the direct sum of tensor products of the form

$$ S^{a_1}(\mathfrak{g}^{\#(1)}) \otimes S^{a_2}(\mathfrak{g}^{\#(2)}) \otimes \cdots \Lambda^{b_1}(\mathfrak{g}^{\#}) \otimes \Lambda^{b_2}(\mathfrak{g}^{\#(1)}) \otimes \cdots \tag{1.5.2}$$

where the sum is over all sequences $\{a_n\},\{b_n\}$ with each $a_n \geq 0$, each $b_n \geq 0$ and

$$ i \;=\; \sum_{n\geq 1}(a_n p^n + b_n p^{n-1}), \qquad i+j \;=\; \sum_{n\geq 1}(2a_n + b_n).$$

Moreover, for any $r \geq 1$, ${}^{AJ}E_1^{i,j}(G_{(r)})$ can be identified with the direct sum of those tensor products of the form (1.5.2) with $a_n = b_n = 0,\ n > r$.

If G is normal in $\tilde{G}$, then $\tilde{G}$ naturally acts on the spectral sequence (1.5.1).

We denote by $gr^{AJ}(H^*(G,k))$ the graded k-algebra associated to $H^*(U,k)$ filtered by the filtration utilized to construct (1.5.1). In other words, $gr^{AJ}(H^*(G,k)) = {}^{AJ}E_\infty^{*,*}(G)$. We denote by

$$ {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(G) \;\subset\; {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(G)$$

the bigraded subalgebra consisting in bidegree (i,j) of those $w \in {}^{AJ}E_1^{i,j}(G)$ on which all differentials ${}^{AJ}d_s^{i,j}(-)$ vanish.

We record the following useful observation about filtration degree in the A-J spectral sequence.

Lemma 1.6. *Let $w \in {}^{AJ}E_1^{i,j}(G)$ be a non-nilpotent class, and write w as a sum of tensors as in (1.5.2). Then no summand of w has a wedge factor (i.e., each summand of w has $b_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$). In particular, if $z \in {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{i,j}(G)$ is a non-nilpotent permanent cycle, it is a sum of tensors with no wedge factors.*

Proof. Because w is non-nilpotent, it must have at least one summand with no wedge factor. Moreover, the filtration degree of a summand with no wedge factor must be of the form $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n p^n$ and the cohomological degree of w must equal $i+j = \sum_{n\geq 1} 2a_n$. In particular, $i = \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n p^n$. Any bi-homogeneous element of ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(G)$ of cohomological degree $\sum_{n\geq 1} 2a_n$ which does have a wedge factor must have a smaller filtration degree, and thus does not appear as a summand of w. □

The proof of the following theorem is inspired by the proof of Theorem 2.5 of [30]; indeed, the majority of that proof applies without notational change.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be a unipotent finite group scheme over k, where k is an arbitrary field of characteristic p, and consider an element $z \in H^d(\mathfrak{U}, k)$. If some permanent cycle $\overline{z}^{AJ} \in {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(\mathfrak{U})$ representing z is nilpotent, then $z \in H^d(\mathfrak{U}, k)$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the dimension $dim_k(k[\mathfrak{U}])$ of the coordinate algebra of $\mathfrak{U}$. Observe that $H^*(\mathfrak{U}, k) \otimes_k K \simeq H^*(\mathfrak{U}_K, K)$, for any field extension K/k so that $z \in H^*(\mathfrak{U}, k)$ is nilpotent if and only if its base change $z_K = z \otimes 1 \in H^*(U_K, K)$ is nilpotent. If $\mathfrak{U} = \mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ for some $r \geq 1$ (e.g., if $dim_k k[\mathfrak{U}]) = 1$), then $H^*(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, k)_{red}$ is easily seen to equal $(E_\infty^{*,*}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}))_{red} = (E_1^{*,*}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}))_{red}$.

Assume now that $\mathfrak{U}$ is not isomorphic to some $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ and consider $z \in H^*(\mathfrak{U}, k)$ such that $\overline{z}^{AJ} \in {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(\mathfrak{U})$ is nilpotent.

Assume first that $Hom_{Gr/k}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbb{G}_{a(1)})$ is 1-dimensional, spanned by some $f : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathbb{G}_{a(1)}$; let $\mathfrak{U}'$ denote the kernel of f. Let $x_f \in H^2(\mathfrak{U}, k)$ denote the pull-back via f^* of the natural generator $x_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{G}_{a(1)}, k)$. Then Theorem 1.6 of [30] (the infinitesimal group scheme analogue of "Serre's Theorem" [26]) implies that x_f is nilpotent in $H^*(\mathfrak{U}, k)$. Consider $z' \equiv z_{|\mathfrak{U}'} \in H^d(\mathfrak{U}', k)$. The naturality of (1.5.1) and the explicit form of the restriction map ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(\mathfrak{U}) \to {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(\mathfrak{U}')$ imply that $\overline{z'}^{AJ} \in {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(\mathfrak{U}')$ is nilpotent. Applying our inductive hypothesis, we may find $N > 0$ so that $(z')^N = 0$. The "Quillen-Venkov Lemma" [24] as formulated in [30, Proposition 2.3] implies that x_f divides z^{2N}, so that z is nilpotent.

Finally, assume $dim_k(Hom_{Gr/k}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbb{G}_{a(1)}))$ has dimension at least 2 and let $\phi_1, \phi_2 : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathbb{G}_{a(1)}$ be two linearly independent homomorphisms. Following [30, Theorem 2.5], we consider the homomorphism

$$\phi \equiv Y_1^{1/p} f_1 + Y_2^{1/p} f_2 : \mathfrak{U}_K \to G_{a(1),K}, \quad K = k(Y_1^{1/p}, Y_2^{1/p}),$$

where Y_1, Y_2 generate a field $k(Y_1, Y_2)$ of transcendence degree 2 over k. Let $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2 \subset \mathfrak{U}$ denote the kernels of ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and let $\mathfrak{U}' \subset \mathfrak{U}_K$ denote the kernel of ϕ, an unipotent algebraic group defined over K. Our induction hypothesis implies that there exists $N > 0$ such that the restriction of z_K^N in $H^*(\mathfrak{U}', K)$ and the restrictions of z^N in $H^*(\mathfrak{U}_1, k)$, $H^*(\mathfrak{U}_2, k)$ are all equal to 0. Another application of the Quillen-Venkov Lemma implies that x_ϕ divides z_K^{2N} and both x_{f_1}, x_{f_2} divide z^{2N}.

We can now apply verbatim (with no notational change) the second half of the proof of [30, 2.5] to conclude that $z \in H^*(\mathfrak{U}, k)$ is nilpotent. □

The following proposition formulates the context in which we shall apply Theorem 1.7 and motivates the constructions of Section 2.

Proposition 1.8. *Let U be a unipotent algebraic group and $U_{(r)} \subset U$ be a Frobenius kernel of U. Assume that there exists a k-algebra $S^*(U_{(r)})$ with the following properties:*

(1) *$S^*(U_{(r)})$ is a subalgebra of*

$$S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \mathfrak{u}^{\#(\ell)}[2]) \subset {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)}) \subset {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$$

of the permanent cycles in ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$.

(2) *There exists a map of filtered k-algebras*

$$\eta_{U,r} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \to \ H^*(U_{(r)}, k),$$

where the filtration of $S^*(U_{(r)})$ is given by degree of its grading and the filtration of $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ is that associated to the spectral sequence (1.5.1).

(3) *The map induced by $\eta_{U,r}$ on associated graded algebras*

$$gr(\eta_{U,r}) : S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \to \ {}^{AJ}E_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$$

is given by the composition

$$S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \hookrightarrow \ {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)}) \ \twoheadrightarrow \ {}^{AJ}E_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)}).$$

(4) *$gr(\eta_{U,r}) : S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \to \ {}^{AJ}E_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$ is an isomorphism modulo nilpotents.*

Then $\eta_{U,r} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \to \ H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ is an isomorphism modulo nilpotents by nilpotents.

Proof. Observe that the kernel of ${}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)}) \twoheadrightarrow {}^{AJ}E_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$ has p-power 0, since the total cohomological degree of any element of this kernel is the image under some differential $d_s^{*,*}$ of an element of ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$ of odd total degree; as in (1.5.2), such an element is a sum of terms whose square is 0.

Consider an arbitrary $z \in H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ and an arbitrary representation $\overline{z}^{AJ} \in {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$ (which must necessarily lie in ${}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$). Using (4), choose some $w \in S^*(U_{(r)})$ such that $\overline{z}^{AJ} - gr(\eta_{U,r}) \circ (w)$ has nilpotent image in ${}^{AJ}E_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$, where $\eta_{U,r}$ is given by (2). Using (3) and the nilpotence of the kernel of ${}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)}) \to {}^{AJ}E_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$, we conclude that $\overline{z}^{AJ} - w \in {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$ is nilpotent. Consequently, $\zeta = z - \eta_{U,r}(w) \in H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ has the property that it has a representative (namely, $\overline{z}^{AJ} - w$) in ${}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)}) \subset {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$ which is nilpotent. By Theorem 1.7, ζ is nilpotent, thereby establishing the assertion of this corollary. □

We next introduce the k-algebra $S^*(U_{(r)})$ we shall consider.

Definition 1.9. Let U be a unipotent algebraic group and $U_{(r)} \subset U$ be a Frobenius kernel of U. We define $S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \subset \ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}\mathfrak{u}^{\#(\ell+1)}[2])$ to be the subalgebra generated by $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{\#(\ell+1)}[2])$ and $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}\mathfrak{u}^{\#(\ell+1)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])$.

So defined, $S^*(U_{(r)})$ is the coproduct of the k-algebras $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{\#(\ell+1)}[2])$ and $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}\mathfrak{u}^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])$ along $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])$

The following proposition uses the analysis of the L-H-S spectral sequences in Proposition 1.3 to show that $S^*(U_{(r)})$ defined satisfies Property (1) ofProposition 1.8. It is at this point we intertwine the L-H-S spectral sequences of (1.3.1) with the A-J spectral sequences of (1.5.1).

Proposition 1.10. *Retain the hypotheses and notation of Proposition 1.3: G a simple algebraic group (with $G \neq G_2$ if $p = 3$), U_J is the unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup $P_J \subset G$, $U = \Gamma_i(U_J)$, and Γ_v a term of the descending central series of U. Consider $S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$ as defined above in Definition 1.9 (with U replaced by U/Γ_v). Let $\gamma_v \subset \mathfrak{u}$ denote $Lie(\Gamma_v) \ \subset \ Lie(U)$.*

For any $v > 2$,

(1.10.1)

$${}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \cap S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}((\gamma_{v-1})/\gamma_v)^{\#(\ell+1)}[2]) \ = \ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_{v-1}/\gamma_v)^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]).$$

Consequently, for any $v > 1$

$$S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \ \subset \ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v)^{\#(\ell+1)}[2]) \subset \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$$

has image contained in ${}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \ \subset \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$. Thus, we have a natural map

$$\epsilon_{U/\Gamma_v,r} : S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \ \to \ {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}). \tag{1.10.2}$$

Taking v sufficiently large, we conclude that

$$S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \subset \ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}\mathfrak{u}^{\#(\ell+1)}[2]) \subset \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$$

factors naturally through the map

$$\epsilon_{U,r} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \to \ {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)}). \tag{1.10.3}$$

Proof. Assume $v > 2$ Using the L-H-S spectral sequence, we observe that T-eigenvectors (bi-homogeneous of some bi-degree) of

$${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((\Gamma_{v-1}/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \ = \ H^*((\Gamma_{v-1}/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}, k) \ = \ E_2^{0,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$$

lie in $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_{v-1}/\gamma_v)^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])$ if and only if they are non-nilpotent elements in the image of $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ by Corollary 1.4 and Lemma 1.6. This is a description independent of the choice of spectral sequence, thereby justifying (1.10.1).

We proceed by induction of $v \geq 2$ to construct $\epsilon_{U/\Gamma_v,r}$. If $v = 2$, then U/Γ_v is a product of $\mathbb{G}_a$'s; in this case, ${}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}((\mathbb{G}_a^{\times s})_{(r)})$ equals ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((\mathbb{G}_a^{\times s})_{(r)})$.

For $v \geq 2$, observe that we have T-equivariant isomorphisms of k-algebras given by a (uniquely determined) k-linear, T-equivariant isomorphism $\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_{v+1} \ \simeq \ (\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v) \oplus (\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})$:

$$S^*((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}) \ \simeq S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \otimes S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]), \tag{1.10.4}$$

$${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}) \ \simeq \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \otimes {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((\Gamma_v/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}).$$

Using these isomorphisms, the embedding $S^*((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}) \ \subset \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)})$ is identified with the coproduct of the embeddings

$$S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \ \subset \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \ \subset \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}),$$

$$S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_{v+1}/\gamma_v)^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) \ \subset \ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_{v+1}/\gamma_v)^{\#(\ell+1)}[2]) \ \subset \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}).$$

We claim that the second embedding factor through a (uniquely determined) map $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_{v+1}/\gamma_v)^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) \to \ {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)})$; in other words, the image of the second embedding consists of permanent cycles in the A-J spectral sequence.

Applying our inductive hypothesis, we conclude that the two tensor factors of $S^*((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)})$ maps to ${}^{AJ}Z^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)})$, thereby establishing the map $\epsilon_{U/\Gamma_{v+1}}$. □

Using Lemma 1.6, we obtain the following extension of (1.10.1) of Proposition 1.10.

Proposition 1.11. *Retain the notation and hypotheses of Proposition 1.10. Then*

$$S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \ = \ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v)^{(\ell+1)\#}[2]) \cap {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}). \tag{1.11.1}$$

Proof. The case $v = 2$ is elementary, since ${}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}((\mathbb{G}_a^{\times s})_{(r)})$ equals ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((\mathbb{G}_a^{\times s})_{(r)})$ and since U/Γ_2 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a^{\times s}$ for some $s > 0$.

Assume $v > 2$ and proceed by induction on v. We write an element of the right-hand side of (1.11.1) as a sum $\sum_{I,J} w_I \otimes z_J$ with w_I running through a basis consisting of T-eigenvectors of $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v)^{(\ell+1)\#}[2])$ and z_J running through a basis consisting of T-eigenvectors of $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_{v-1}/\gamma_v)^{(\ell+1)\#}[2])$. Since $\sum_{I,J} w_I \otimes z_J \in {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$,

$$(\sum_{I,J} {}^{AJ}d_s^{*,*}(w_I) \otimes z_J) + (\sum_{I,J} w_I \otimes {}^{AJ}d_s^{*,*}(z_J)) \;=\; 0 \;\in\; {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$$

for every differential ${}^{AJ}d_s^{*,*}$ in the A-J spectral sequence for $(U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}$. We conclude both sums in the above equation must be 0 for each ${}^{AJ}d_s^{*,*}$, for the left (respectively, right) sum consists of summands which are tensors in ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$ which we identify with ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_{v-1})_{(r)}) \otimes H^*((\Gamma_{v-1}/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}, k)$ whose total degree in $H^*((\Gamma_{v-1}/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}, k)$ is even (resp., odd).

For a given s, the equation $\sum_{I,J} {}^{AJ}d_s^{*,*}(w_I) \otimes z_J = 0$ implies that each $d_s^{*,*}(w_I)$ is 0 by the linear independence of $\{z_J\}$. The equation $\sum_{I,J} w_I \otimes {}^{AJ}d_s^{*,*}(z_J) = 0$ for all s implies that each z_J is a permanent cycle by Proposition 1.10. Thus our inductive hypothesis and Proposition 1.9 applied to $S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \;=\; S^*((U/\Gamma_{v-1})_{(r)}) \otimes S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_{v-1}/\gamma_v)^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])$ proves the equality (1.11.1). □

As a corollary of Lemma 1.6 and Proposition 1.11, we immediately conclude the following.

Corollary 1.12. *The map* $\epsilon_{U/\Gamma_v,r} : S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \;\to\; {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$ *is surjective modulo nilpotents.*

2. Constructions of Maps to Cohomology

The primary goal of this section is to construct a map $\eta_{U,r} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \to H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ satisfying property (2) of Proposition 1.8.

We begin this section by recalling some of the constructions and results of [13] and [29], starting with the universal, GL_N-invariant classes $e_{r-\ell} \in H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_N, \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r-\ell)})$ of [13] and their ℓ-th Frobenius twist $e_{r-\ell}^{(\ell)} \in H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_N, \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)})$ (i.e., pull-back along the ℓ-th iterate F^ℓ of the Frobenius morphism $F : GL_N \to GL_N$). Throughout, r will denote an arbitrary positive integer.

Observe that the restriction of $e_{r-\ell}^{(\ell)}$ to $GL_{(r)}$,

$$(e_{r-\ell}^{(\ell)})_{(r)} \;\in\; H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(r)}, \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)}) \;\simeq\; H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(r)}, k) \otimes \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)},$$

can be identified with a GL_N-equivariant map

$$\mathfrak{gl}_N^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}] \to H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(r)}, k)$$

(vanishing on the dual trace class $Tr^{(r)} \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(\#r)}$), thereby determining the GL_N-equivariant map of commutative k-algebras

$$\phi_{GL_N,r} : S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])) \;\to\; H^\bullet(GL_{N(r)}, k), \quad 0 \le \ell < r. \tag{2.0.1}$$

A basic property of $e_{r-\ell}$ is that its restriction to $GL_{N(1)}$, $(e_{r-\ell})_{(1)} \in H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(1)},k)\otimes gl_N^{(r)}$, is non-zero for any $r \geq 1$. The injectivity of twisting thereby implies that

$$(e_{r-\ell}^{(\ell)})_{(\ell+1)} \neq 0 \in H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(\ell+1)},k)\otimes gl_N^{(r)}, \tag{2.0.2}$$

whereas $(e_{r-\ell}^{(\ell)})_{(\ell)} = 0 \in H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(\ell)},k)\otimes gl_N^{(r)}$ because the composition of $GL_{N(\ell)} \subset GL_N \overset{F^\ell}{\to} GL_N$ is trivial.

We recall from [25, §3] that a reductive pair (G,H) consists of a connected linear algebraic group G and a connected, closed algebraic subgroup H with the property that $\mathfrak{h} = Lie(H) \subset \mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ admits an H equivariant splitting $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$. It is instructive to observe that (GL_N, SL_N) is a reductive pair if and only if p does not divide $N+1$. By [25, Lemma 5.1], the hypothesis on G in the following definition implies that there exists some N such that $i : G \subset GL_N$ is a reductive pair.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a simple algebraic group and assume that $p \neq 2$ (and $p \neq 3$ if G is type G_3). Choose a closed embedding $i : G \subset GL_N$ such that (GL_N, G) is a reductive pair and a G-equivariant splitting $\tau : \mathfrak{gl}_N \to \mathfrak{g}$ of the associated embedding $i : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}_N$. We define the map of commutative k-algebras

$$\phi_{G,r} : S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{g}^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])) \ \to \ H^\bullet(GL_{N(r)},k) \to H^\bullet(G_{(r)},k) \tag{2.1.1}$$

to be the composition $i^* \circ \phi_{GL_N,r} \circ \tau^*$.

Then for each ℓ, $0 \leq \ell < r$, the restriction of $\phi_{G,r}(\mathfrak{g}^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])$ to $H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(G_{(\ell+1)},k)$ is injective and its further restriction to $H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(G_{(\ell)},k)$ is zero.

Following [29], we use the following notation: we identify $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]))$ with the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{rN^2} = \prod_{\ell=0}^{r-1} M_{n,n}$, identifying $X^{i,j}(\ell) \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]$ with the (i,j) coordinate function of the ℓ-th factor. For any affine group scheme G over k, we use the notation $V_r(G)$ for the affine scheme of 1-parameter subgroups of G of height r (i.e., homomorphisms $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \to G$ of group schemes over k) with coordinate algebra $k[V_r(G)]$ as in [29].

In [29], for a fixed $r > 0$ there is a homomorphism

$$\psi_{G,r} : H^\bullet(G_{(r)},k) \ \to \ k[V_r(G)] \tag{2.1.2}$$

natural with respect to G (with r fixed) which multiplies degrees by $p^r/2$, where the grading on $V_r(G)$ is determined by the natural action of $\mathbb{G}_{a(1)}$ on $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$.

We recall the following result of C. Bendel, A. Suslin, and the author.

Theorem 2.2. *([30, 5.2]) The map $\phi_{GL_N,r}$ of (2.0.1) factors as*

(2.2.1)

$$\phi_{GL_N,r} \ = \ \overline{\phi}_{GL_N,r}\circ q : S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])) \ \twoheadrightarrow \ k[V_r(GL_N)] \ \to H^\bullet(GL_{N(r)},k).$$

Here, q is the quotient by the ideal generated by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i,j,\ell,\ell'} \quad &= \quad \sum_t X^{i,t}(\ell)\cdot X^{t,j}(\ell') - X^{i,t}(\ell')\cdot X^{t,j}(\ell) \\ S_{i,j,\ell} \quad &= \quad \sum_{t_1,\dots,t_{p-1}} X^{i,t_1}(\ell)\cdot X^{t_1,t_2}(\ell)\cdots X^{t_{p-1},j}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

for all i,j,ℓ,ℓ' as in [29, 5.1].

Moreover, $\psi_{GL_N,r}\circ\overline{\phi}_{GL_N,r} : k[V_r(GL_N)] \to H^\bullet(GL_{N(r)},k) \to k[V_r(GL_N)]$ is the r-th iterate of the Frobenius map.

We recall that a prime $p \neq 2$ is *very good* for a simple algebraic group G if p does not n for G of type A_{n-1}, if $p \neq 3$ for G of type $E_6,\ E_7,\ F_4,\ G_2$, and $p \neq 3, 5$ for G of type E_8. The following is a recent result of S. Garibaldi and R. Guralnick [14], extending an observation by the author for classical simple groups.

Proposition 2.3. *([14]) Let G be a simple algebraic group and p be an odd prime very good for G. Then there exists an N and an embedding $i : G \to GL_N$ such that the differential of i (denoted $i : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}_N$) admits a unique G-equivariant splitting $\tau : \mathfrak{gl}_N \to \mathfrak{g}$.*

We recall that a closed embedding $G \to GL_N$ is of exponential type if the map of schemes $exp : \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \to V_r(GL_N)$ given by the usual truncated exponential map restricts to $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \to V_r(G)$. Here, $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denotes the set of $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X^{[p]} = 0$. This implies that every infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \to G$ is uniquely of the form $\prod_{s=0}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}_*} \circ F^\ell$ for some $\underline{B} \in \mathcal{C}_r(N_p(\mathfrak{g}))$, the variety of r-tuples $(B_0, \dots, B_{r-1})$ of p-nilpotent, pairwise commuting elements of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The hypotheses of the following theorem are satisfied for all classical types (i.e., types A, B, C, D) as observed in [29] and many other examples as verified in [28]. Indeed, computations suggest that the condition on p in Proposition 2.3 is sufficient to also insure exponential type.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a simple algebraic group which is either of type A, B, C, D or satisfies the conditions that p is a very good prime for G and that $G \subset GL_N$ is of exponential type. For all such pairs (GL_N, G), the maps $\phi_{GL_N,r}$ of (2.0.1), the maps $\overline{\phi}_{GL_{N,r}}$ of (2.2.1), and the maps $\phi_{G,r}$ of (2.0.1) fit into the following G-equivariant commutative diagram*

(2.4.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \overset{\phi_{GL_N,r}}{\frown} & & \\
S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])) & \longrightarrow & k[V_r(GL_N)] & \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}_{GL_N,r}} & H^\bullet(GL_{N(r)}, k) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{g}^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])) & \longrightarrow & k[V_r(G)] & \xrightarrow[\overline{\phi}_{G,r}]{} & H^\bullet(G_{(r)}, k) \\
 & & \underset{\phi_{G,r}}{\smile} & &
\end{array}$$

whose left horizontal arrows are surjective, whose vertical maps are restriction maps, and whose left and middle vertical maps are surjective.

Moreover, $\psi_{G,r} \circ \overline{\phi}_{G,r} : k[V_r(G)] \to H^\bullet(G_{(r)}, k) \to k[V_r(G)]$ is the r-th power of Frobenius.

Proof. For the pair (GL_n, SL_n) the commutativity of (2.4.1) follows from the fact that $\phi_{GL_n,r}$ vanishes on the dual of the trace in $\mathfrak{gl}_n^{\#(r)}$ and that the quotient of $\mathfrak{gl}_n^{\#(r)}$ by scalar multiples of the dual of the trace equals $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{\#(r)}$, and that $V_r(SL_n) = V_r(GL_n)$.

For the remainder of this proof, we assume (GL_N, G) satisfies the conditions that $i : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}_N$ admits a unique G-equivariant splitting and that $i : G \to GL_N$ is an embedding of exponential type.

The commutativity of the left square of (2.4.1) follows from the observation that the relations $\{R_{i,j,\ell,\ell'}, S_{i,j,\ell}\}$ restricted to $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{g}^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]))$ define the relations for $k[V_r(G)]$: namely, for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, the condition that X, Y commute in $\mathfrak{g}$ is the same as the condition that their images commute in $\mathfrak{gl}_N$, and the condition that $X^{[p]} = 0$ is the condition that the image of X in $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ has p-th power 0. The outer square of (2.4.1) commutes by definition of $\phi_{G,r}$ on each $\mathfrak{g}^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]$ as given in Proposition 2.1 together with the uniqueness property of $\tau : \mathfrak{gl}_N \to \mathfrak{g}$. Namely, the two G-equivariant maps $\mathfrak{gl}^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}] \to H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(G_{(r)}, k)$ agree on the image of $\mathfrak{g}^{\#(r)}$ in $\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}$ and must vanish on the complement (which has no subquotient isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}^{\#(r)}$).

The surjectivity of the left vertical map of (2.4.1) follows from the injectivity of $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}$; the surjectivity of the middle vertical map of (2.4.1) from the surjectivity of $\mathfrak{gl}^{\#} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\#}$ together with the description of $V_r(GL)$ (respectively, $V_r(G)$) as the variety $\mathcal{C}_r(N_p(\mathfrak{gl})$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_r(N_p(\mathfrak{g})))$. The surjectivity of the left vertical map and the commutativity of the left and outer squares of (2.4.1) imply the commutativity of the right square of (2.4.1).

Finally, the naturality of $\psi_{G,r} : H^\bullet(G_{(r)}, k) \to k[V_r(\mathfrak{g})]$ and the surjectivity of $k[V_r(\mathfrak{gl})] \to k[V_r(\mathfrak{g})]$ imply that the composition $\psi_{G,r} \circ \overline{\phi}_{G,r}$ equals the r-th iterate of the Frobenius map. □

The techniques of the proof of Theorem 2.4 enable its extension to parabolic subgroups of classical (simple) algebraic groups and associated unipotent subgroups.

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a simple algebraic group satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, and choose $T \subset B \subset G$ determining a set of simple roots Π. Consider the parabolic subgroup $P_J \subset G$ associated to some subset $J \subset \Pi$, let $U_J \subset P_J$ be the unipotent radical of P_J, and let $U = \Gamma_i(U_J)$ be some chosen term in the descending central series for U_j. Then $i : \mathfrak{u} \to \mathfrak{g}$ admits a unique T-equivariant retraction $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{u} = Lie(U)$.*

Furthermore,

$$\phi_{U,r} \equiv i^* \circ \phi_{G,r} \circ \tau^* : S^*(\mathfrak{u}^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) \ \to \ H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k)$$

fits is a T-equivariant commutative diagram

(2.5.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \overset{\phi_{G,r}}{\frown} & & \\ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{g}^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])) & \longrightarrow & k[V_r(G)] & \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}_{G,r}} & H^\bullet(G_{(r)}, k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}\mathfrak{u}^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) & \longrightarrow & k[V_r(U)] & \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}_{U,r}} & H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k) \\ & & \underset{\phi_{U,r}}{\smile} & & \end{array}$$

whose vertical maps are restriction maps; the left and middle vertical maps are surjective.

Moreover,

$$\psi_{U,r} \circ \overline{\phi}_{U,r} : k[V_r(U)] \to H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k) \to k[V_r(U)]$$

is the r-th iterate of Frobenius.

Proof. We have unique T-equivariant splittings

$$\mathfrak{g} \simeq (\mathfrak{p}_U \oplus \mathfrak{u}_J^-), \quad \mathfrak{p}_J \simeq (\mathfrak{u}_J \oplus \mathcal{L}_J), \quad \mathfrak{u}_J \simeq (\mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_J/\mathfrak{u}).$$

By our assumption on G, the exponential map $exp : \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ for some embedding $G \to GL_N$ restricts to $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g}) \to G$. The fact that $\mathcal{E}$ restricts to $\mathbb{G}_a \times \mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{u})$ follows from Sobaje's uniqueness result [28, Theorem 4.2] and the existence result of Seitz [27, Theorem 1.3].

The proof of Theorem 2.4 thus applies to prove the proposition by merely making the notational change of replacing $G \to GL_N$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}_N$) by $U \to G$ (resp., $\mathfrak{u} \to \mathfrak{g}$) and using uniqueness as a T-equivariant map rather than uniqueness as a G-equivariant map. □

Remark 2.6. One consequence of (2.5.1) is that the restrictions along $\mathfrak{gl}_N^{\#} \to \mathfrak{u}^{\#}$ of the relations of Theorem 2.2 map to 0 in $H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k)$. For the relations $\{R_{i,j,\ell,\ell'}\}$, this can be verified directly using the analysis of Proposition 1.3; more specifically, they are equivalent to the relations (1.3.2). For the restrictions of the relations $\{S_{i,j,\ell}\}$, one requires a more careful analysis of the differentials of the L-H-S spectral sequences (1.3.1). For $G = SL_N$, the restriction $S_{i,j,\ell}$ for a given i, j, ℓ may arise by applying $d_{2p}^{0,2p-1}(-)$ to

$$\sum_{i<i_2<\cdots<i_p<j} y_{i,j}^{(\ell)} \wedge (y_{i,i_2}^{(\ell)} \wedge y_{i_2,j}^{(\ell)}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (y_{i,i_p}^{(\ell)} \wedge y_{i_p,j}^{(\ell)})$$

on which $d_s^{0,2p-1}(-)$ should vanish for $s < 2p$.

We extend the domains of $\phi_{U,r} : S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}\mathfrak{u}^{\#(r)})[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) \to H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ and $\overline{\phi}_{U,r} : k[V_r(U)] \to H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ of Proposition 2.5. These extensions use the composition of natural maps

$$S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{\#(\ell+1)}[2]) \ \to \ H^*((U/[U,U])_{(r)}, k) \to \ H^*(U_{(r)}, k). \tag{2.6.1}$$

The first of these maps follows from the identification of $U/[U,U]$ with a product of $\mathbb{G}_a$'s, then applying (1.0.1) and the Künneth Theorem; the second is induced by $U \to U/[U,U]$.

Definition 2.7. Retain the hypotheses and notation of Proposition 2.5 and recall the definition of $S^*(U_{(r)})$ from Definition 1.9. We define

$$\eta_{U,r} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \to \ H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k) \tag{2.7.1}$$

to be the extension of $\phi_{U,r}$ given by the coproduct of $\phi_{U,r}$ and the map (2.6.1).

We define $\overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ to be the coproduct of the k-algebras $k[V_r(U)]$ and $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}([\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{\#(\ell+1)}[2])$ along $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}([\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{\#(r)})[2p^{r-\ell-1}])$ and denote by

$$\overline{\eta}_{U,r} : \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \ \to \ H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k) \tag{2.7.2}$$

the corresponding extension of $\overline{\phi}_{U,r}$ of Proposition 2.5.

Remark 2.8. Let $U' = \Gamma_{i-1}(U_J) \supset U = \Gamma_i(U_J)$. The failure of $\mathfrak{u}'/[\mathfrak{u}',\mathfrak{u}'] \to \mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}]$ to be surjective is reflected in the fact that restriction map $S^*(U'_{(r)}) \to S^*(U_{(r)})$ (and thus the restriction map $H^\bullet((U')_{(r)}, k) \to H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k)$) is not surjective.

Proposition 2.9. *Retain the hypotheses and notation of Definition 2.7. The algebra $S^*(U_{(r)})$ is generated by elements $X_\beta(\ell) \in \mathfrak{u}^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]$ and $Y_{\beta'}(\ell) \in (\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{\#(\ell+1)}[2]$, where $\{X_\beta(\ell)\}$ are T-eigenvectors with weights $p^r \cdot \beta$ for roots $-\beta$ of $\mathfrak{u}$ and where $\{Y_{\beta'}(\ell)\}$ are T-eigenvectors with weights $p^r \cdot \beta$ for roots $-\beta'$ of $[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}]$. These generators satisfy the relation $(Y(\ell)_{\beta'})^{p^{r-\ell-1}} = X(\ell)_{\beta'}$.*

The algebra $\overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ fits in the co-cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}\mathfrak{u}^{\#(r)})[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) & \longrightarrow & S^*(U_{(r)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k[V_r(U)] & \longrightarrow & \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \end{array} \tag{2.9.1}$$

and thus is the quotient of $S^*(U_{(r)})$ by the ideal generated by the images of the relations defining the quotient $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}^{\#(r)})[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) \to k[V_r(U)]$ which in turn are the restriction of the relations of Theorem 2.2.

The map $k[V_r(U)] \to \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ is a finite injective map obtained by adjoining p^{th}-roots.

Proof. The asserted generation of $S^*(U_{(r)})$ follows from its definition as a coproduct together with the generation of $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])$ by elements $X_\beta(\ell) \in \mathfrak{u}^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]$ and generation of $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}([\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{\#(\ell+1)}[2])$ by elements $Y_{\beta'}(\ell) \in (\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{\#(\ell+1)}[2]$. By definition, $(Y(\ell)_{\beta'})^{p^{r-\ell-1}} = X(\ell)_{\beta'}$.

The co-cartesian square (2.9.1) follows immediately from the definition given in Definition 2.7 of $\overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ as a coproduct. Since this square is cocartesian and since $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) \to k[V_r(U)]$ is surjective, a simple diagram chase implies the surjectivity of $S^*(U_{(r)}) \to \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ and the fact that the kernel of this quotient is generated by images of the relations which generate the kernel of the left vertical arrow.

Since (2.9.1) is co-cartesian and since $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{u}^{\#(r)})[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) \to S^*(U_{(r)})$ is a finite, injective map obtained by adjoining p-th roots, so is the map $k[V_r(U)] \to \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$. □

3. Determination of $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ modulo nilpotents

We present in Theorem 3.1 the main result of this paper, the fact that $\overline{\eta}_U : \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \to H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ of Definition 2.7 is an isomorphism modulo nilpotents. Our proof utilizes most of the discussion of previous two sections. We follow this statement with an analysis $k[V_r(U)]$ in two very special cases. Since $\overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ is obtained from $k[V_r(U)]$ by an explicit construction of taking p^{th} roots, this provides computational information about $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ in these cases.

Before giving a formal statement and proof of Theorem 3.1, we point out that this theorem is indeed a considerable strengthening (for the unipotent groups for which Theorem 3.1 applies) of results of [29], [30] in that we obtain "explicit" degrees of generators and an "explicit" description of the reduced ring structure of $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$. Probably the most interesting aspect of these results is reflected in the results of Section 4 concerning the stabilization of $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ as r goes to infinity.

Theorem 3.1. *Consider a simple algebraic group G satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, a parabolic subgroup $P_J \subset G$ for some $J \subset \Pi$, and $U = \Gamma_i(U_J)$ some term in the descending central series for the unipotent radical U_J of P_J.*

Then for any $r \geq 1$, the map

$$\overline{\eta}_{U,r} : \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \ \to \ H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k)$$

of Definition 2.7 is surjective modulo nilpotents; in other words, for every $\zeta \in H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ there exists some $z \in \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ such that $\zeta - \overline{\eta}_{U,r}(z)$ is nilpotent. Moreover, for any $r \geq 1$, the kernel of $\overline{\eta}_{U,r}$ consists of elements whose p^{2r-1}-st power is 0. Consequently, we have the isomorphism

$$(\overline{\eta}_{U,r})_{red} : \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})_{red} \ \xrightarrow{\sim} \ H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k)_{red}. \tag{3.1.1}$$

Furthermore, if the Frobenius map $F : k[V_r(U)] \to k[V_r(U)]$ is injective, then $\overline{\eta}_{U,r}$ is injective so that

$$\overline{\eta}_{U,r} : \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \ \xrightarrow{\sim} \ H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k)_{red}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Observe that the kernel of the Frobenius map $F : k[V_r(U)] \to k[V_r(U)]$ equals the kernel of the k-sesquilinear map $(-)^p : k[V_r(U)] \to k[V_r(U)]$. Since the composition $k[V_r(U)] \hookrightarrow \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \to H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k) \to k[V_r(U)]$ is F^r and since the p^{r-1}-st power of any element of $\overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ lies in the image of $k[V_r(U)]$, any element in the kernel of the composition $\psi \circ (\overline{\eta}_{U,r})_{red} : \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \to H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k) \to k[V_r(U)]$ must have p^{2r-1}-st power equal to 0.

Corollary 1.12 tells us the map $\epsilon_{U,r} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \to {}^{AJ}Z_\infty^{*,*}(U_{(r)})$ is surjective modulo nilpotents. Consequently, the four conditions of Proposition 1.8 are satisfied by $\eta_{U,r} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \ \to \ H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k)$ so that $\eta_{U,r}$ is surjective modulo nilpotents. Since $\eta_{U,r}$ factors through $\overline{\eta}_{U,r}$, we conclude that $\overline{\eta}_{U,r}$ is an isomorphism modulo nilpotents; equivalently, $(\overline{\eta}_{U,r})_{red}$ is an isomorphism.

If the Frobenius is injective on $k[V_r(U)]$ and if $k[V_r(U)] \to A$ is obtained by adjoining p-th power roots of elements of $k[V_r(U)]$, then Frobenius is necessarily injectivity on A as well. Applying this to the composition $\overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \to H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k) \to k[V_r(U)] \to \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)})$ we conclude that $\overline{\eta}_{U,r}$ is injective whenever F is injective on $k[V_r(U)]$. Together with the fact that $(\overline{\eta}_{U,r})_{red}$ is an isomorphism, this implies the isomorphism $\overline{\eta}_{U,r} : \overline{S}^*(U_{(r)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(U_{(r)}, k)_{red}$. □

We give an explicit description of the affine variety $V_r(U_3)$ in the following proposition; in particular, we verify that its coordinate algebra is an integrally closed domain.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume $p > 2$. The coordinate algebra $k[V_r(U_3)]$ of $V_r(U_3)$ admits a natural tensor product decomposition*
$k[V_r(U_3)] \ = \ S^*(\{X^{1,3}(\ell), 0 \leq \ell < r\}) \otimes \overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}$; $V_r(U_3) \ \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \overline{k[V_r(U_3)]} \times \mathbb{A}^r$. *Here, $\overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}$ is the quotient of $k[V_r(U_3)]$ by the ideal generated by $\{X^{1,3}(\ell), 0 \leq \ell < r\}$.*

Furthermore, $\overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}$ is an integral domain smooth outside of the origin with field of fractions a purely transcendental extension of k transcendence degree $r+1$. In particular, $\overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}$ is integrally closed.

Proof. We use the presentation of $k[V_r(U_3)]$ derived from that given for $V_r(GL_N)$ in Theorem 2.2 as justified by the surjective middle vertical arrows of (2.4.1) and (2.5.1). The coordinate algebra $\overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}$ is generated by

$$\{X^{s,s+1}(\ell), 1 \le s < 3, 0 \le \ell < r\},$$

and subject to the relations

$$\{X^{1,2}(\ell) \cdot X^{2,3}(\ell') \ - \ X^{1,2}(\ell') \cdot X^{2,3}(\ell); 0 \le \ell, \ell' < r\}$$

(for example, see 2.2). The tensor product decomposition is immediate from the observation that the relations for $k[V_r(U_3)]$ do not involve $X^{1,3}(\ell)$.

If $r = 1$, then $V_r(U_3) = \mathfrak{u}_3$ so that $\overline{k[V_1(U_3)]}$ can be identified with the polynomial algebra $S^*(\{X^{1,3}, X^{2,3}\})$. For the remainder of the proof, we assume $r > 1$.

For any $\ell_1, 0 \le \ell_1 < r$, the algebra $\overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}[(X^{2,3}(\ell_1))^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to

$$k[X^{2,3}(\ell), 0 \le \ell < r; X^{1,2}(\ell_1); 0 \le \ell < r][(X^{2,3}(\ell_1))^{-1}],$$

for $X^{1,2}(\ell) = X^{1,2}(\ell_1)(X^{2,3}(\ell_1))^{-1}X^{2,3}(\ell)$; similarly, for any $\ell_0, 0 \le \ell_0 < r$, the algebra $\overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}[(X^{1,2}(\ell_0))^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to

$$k[X^{1,2}(\ell), 0 \le \ell < r; X^{2,3}(\ell_0); 0 \le \ell < r][(X^{1,2}(\ell_0))^{-1}].$$

This verifies the computation of the field of fractions of $\overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}$ and shows that $\overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}$ is smooth outside the common zeros of $\{X^{1,2}(\ell_0), X^{2,3}(\ell_1); 0 \le \ell_0, \ell_1 < r\}$; namely, the origin. A theorem of Serre (see [19, Thm 39]) tells us that $\overline{k[V_r(U_3)]}$ is an integrally closed domain since the codimension of this zero locus is at least 2. □

As an immediate corollary, we conclude the following statement.

Corollary 3.3. *Consider the special case $U = U_3 \subset SL_3$. Then $\overline{\eta}_{U_3,r} : \overline{S}^*((U_3)_{(r)}) \to H^*((U_3)_{(r)}, k)$ is injective (as well as surjective modulo nilpotents).*

The following example shows that $V_r(U/\Gamma)$ need not be irreducible and its irreducible components need not be integrally closed domains.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume $p > 2$ and $r > 1$. Then $V_r(U_4/\Gamma_3)$ is isomorphic to the product $Y_r(U_v/\Gamma_3) \times \mathbb{A}^{2r}$, where $Y_r(U_4/\Gamma_3) = V_1 \cup V_2$ is a non-trivial union of two irreducible affine subvarieties. The first component, V_1, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{4r}$ and therefore is smooth. The second, V_2, has dimension $3r + 1$, is smooth outside of $V_1 \cap V_2$; moreover, $V_1 \cap V_2 \subset V_2$ is the singular locus of V_2 and has codimension 1 in V_2.*

Proof. Assume $r \ge 2$. As in Proposition 3.2, we use a presentation of $k[V_r(U_4/\Gamma_3)]$ based on the presentation of $V_r(GL_N)$ in Theorem 2.2. Observe that $V_r(U_4/\Gamma_3)$ is the product of the affine space $V_r(\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2r}$ (with coordinate algebra $S^*(\{X^{1,3}(\ell), X^{2,4}(\ell);\ 0 \le \ell < r\})$ and a variety $Y_r(U_4/\Gamma_3)$ given as the spectrum of the quotient of the k-algebra $k[X^{s,s+1}(\ell); 1 \le s < 4; 0 \le \ell < r]$ by the ideal generated by the relations $\{X^{s,s+1}(\ell) \cdot X^{s+1,s+2}(\ell') - X^{s,s+1}(\ell') \cdot X^{s+1,s+2}(\ell')\}$.

We proceed to analyze the irreducible component structure of $Y_r(U_4/\Gamma_3)$ (and thus $V_r(U_4/\Gamma_3)$). Consider the map $f_{2,3} : Y_r(U_4/\Gamma_3) \to \mathbb{A}^r$ sending an element of $A \in Y_r(U_4/\Gamma_3)$ (represented by an r-tuple of strictly upper triangular 4×4 matrices) to its entries $A(0)_{2,3}, \dots, A(r-1)_{2,3}$. Let Y_1 denote $f_{2,3}^{-1}(0)$: the entries $A(\ell)_{1,2}, A(\ell')_{3,4}$ of an element of Y_1 can be arbitrary, so that $Y_1 \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2r}$. The

fiber above some $0 \neq (a^0_{2,3}, \dots, a^{r-1}_{2,3})$ has dimension 2: if for example $a^0_{2,3} \neq 0$, then a point in the fiber above $(a^0_{2,3}, \dots, a^{r-1}_{2,3})$ is given by an arbitrary choice of $A(0)_{1,2}; A(0)_{3,4}$. Let Y_2 denote the closure in $Y_r(U_4/\Gamma_3)$ of $f^{-1}_{2,3}(\mathbb{A}^r - \{0\})$, so that Y_2 has dimension $r+2$. Thus, $Y_r(U_4/\Gamma_3)$ is the non-trivial union of the two irreducible closed subsets $Y_1,\ Y_2$.

Observe that Y_1 is the zero locus of the equations $\{X^{2,3}(\ell); 0 \leq \ell < r\}$ whereas Y_2 is the zero locus of the equations $\{X^{1,2}(\ell) \cdot X^{3,4}(\ell') - X^{1,2}(\ell') \cdot X^{3,4}(\ell)\}$. An open subset of the intersection $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ is given by setting $X^{1,2}(0) \neq 0$; projecting this open subset to $\mathbb{A}^r$ by taking the $(1,2)$-coordinate, we see that a point in the the fiber above some $(a^0_{1,2}, \dots, a^{r-1}_{1,2})$ with $a^0_{1,2} \neq 0$ is specified by an arbitrary choice of $A_{3,4}(0)$. Consequently, $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ has codimension 1 in Y_2.

Finally, $Y_2 - Y_1 \cap Y_2 \ = \ f^{-1}_{2,3}(\mathbb{A}^r - \{0\})$ is smooth, with fibers of $f_{2,3}$ above a point of $\mathbb{A}^r - \{0\}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^2$, whereas the points of $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ are singular in Y_2: we require all of the equations $X_{2,3}(\ell),\ 0 \leq \ell < r$ to carve out this intersection. □

Remark 3.5. In view of Theorems 4.3 and 4.5, the geometry of $V_r(U/\Gamma_3)$ should provide useful information about $H^*(U, k)$.

We suggest a pattern for the irreducible components of $V_r(U_N/\Gamma_3)$ extending Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.4.

Remark 3.6. Assume $p > 2$. Then for $N \geq 3$, the variety $V_r(U_N/\Gamma_3) \ \simeq \ Y_r(U_N/\Gamma_3) \times \mathbb{A}^{(N-3)r}$ is irreducible for $r = 1$ or $N = 3$. For $N > 3$ and $r > 1$, this variety is reducible.

The irreducible components of $Y_r(U_N/\Gamma_3)$ appear to be indexed by the set $\mathcal{S}$ consisting of sub-diagrams of the Dynkin diagram for A_{N-1} obtained recursively as follows: the full Dynkin diagram is in $\mathcal{S}$, and recursively a sub-diagram D' is in $\mathcal{S}$ if it can be obtained by removing a node of a sub-diagram D in $\mathcal{S}$ in such a way that D' has 1 more component than D. The irreducible component $V_D \ \subset \ Y_r(U_N/\Gamma_3)$ corresponding to some $D \in \mathcal{S}$ appears to be a rational variety of dimension equal the sum of the number of nodes of D plus $(r-1)$ times the number of components of D. For any D, the complement of $\cup_{D' \subsetneq D} V_{D'}$ in V_D appears to be smooth.

In particular, it appears to the Frobenius map $F : V_r(U_N/\Gamma_3) \to V_r(U_N/\Gamma_3)$ is injective.

Indeed, such a description might apply more generally to the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup of any simply algebraic group satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4.

4. Stabilization with Respect to r

Much of the author's motivation for considering the cohomology algebra $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ has been the hope that some form of "continuous cohomology" for the linear algebraic group U would prove useful in the study of the (rational) representations of U. This requires understanding the limiting behavior of $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ as r increases. Earlier computational information for $H^*(U_{(r)}, k)$ (especially in [29], [30]) shed little if any light on this limiting behavior. On the other hand, Theorem 3.1 opens the way to the study of this limiting behavior. In this section, we obtain surprising results (see, for example, Theorem 4.3) which suggests that "continuous cohomology" is far less informative about the representations of U than the support varieties introduced in [8].

In order to investigate how the map $\phi_{GL_N,r}$ in (2.0.1) behaves as r increases, we introduce in the next proposition the map $(-)^{[p]}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Define the map*

$$(-)^{[p]} : S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])) \to \ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-2}(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r-1)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-2}])) \tag{4.1.1}$$

by sending $X^{s,t}(\ell) \in \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]$ to the p-th power $(X^{s,t}(\ell))^p \in S^p(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r-1)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-2}])$ if $\ell < r-1$ and to 0 if $\ell = r-1$. Then $(-)^{[p]}$ fits in the GL_N-equivariant commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{GL_N,r}} & H^\bullet(GL_{N(r)},k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle res} \\ S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-2}(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r-1)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-2}])) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{GL_N,r-1}} & H^\bullet(GL_{N(r-1)},k). \end{array} \tag{4.1.2}$$

Proof. We first show that $\phi_{GL_N,r}(X^{s,t}(0)) \in H^{2p^{r-1}}(GL_{N(r)},k)$ restricts to the p-th power of $\phi_{GL_N,r-1}(X^{s,t}(0)) \in H^{2p^{r-2}}(GL_{N(r-1)},k)$. By [29, 3.4], both of these classes restrict to the p^{r-1}-st power of the image of $\phi_{GL_N,1}(X^{s,t}(0))$ in $H^2(GL_{N-1(1)},k)$. Consequently, the outer square of the following diagram of GL_N-modules commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-1}] & \xrightarrow{e_r} & H^{2p^{r-1}}(GL_{N(r)},k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle res} \\ S^p(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r-1)\#}[2p^{r-2}]) & \xrightarrow{e_{r-1}} & H^{2p^{r-1}}(GL_{N(r-1)},k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p^{r-2}]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle res} \\ S^{p^{r-1}}(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(1)\#}[2]) & \xrightarrow[e_1]{} & H^{2p^{r-1}}(GL_{N(1)},k) \end{array} \tag{4.1.3}$$

Thus, the images in $H^{2p^{r-1}}(GL_{N(r-1)},k)$ of the two compositions in the upper square of (4.1.3) are each irreducible GL_N-modules (copies of $(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-1}])/(k \cdot Tr^{(r)})$) which restrict non-trivially to $H^{2p^{r-1}}(GL_{N(1)},k)$. Using the form of the E_1-term of the A-J spectral sequence and the behavior of this E_1-term upon restriction along $GL_{N(1)} \to GL_{N(r)}$, we conclude that the upper square of (4.1.3) also commutes.

By definition of $e_{r-\ell}^{(\ell)}$ as the pull-back via $F^\ell : GL_N \to GL_N$ of $e_{r-\ell}$, we have the commutativity of the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r-\ell)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}] & \xrightarrow{e_{r-\ell}} & H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(r-\ell)},k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{(\ell)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F^\ell} \\ \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}] & \xrightarrow{e_{r-\ell}^{(\ell)}} & H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(r-\ell)},k). \end{array} \tag{4.1.4}$$

Consequently, pulling back via F^ℓ the commutative upper square of (4.1.3) with r replaced by $r-\ell$ determines the following commutative square for each $\ell, 0 \le \ell < r$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}] & \xrightarrow{e^{(\ell)}_{r-\ell}} & H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(r)},k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle res} \\ S^p(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r-1)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-2}]) & \xrightarrow{e^{(\ell)}_{r-\ell-1}} & H^{2p^{r-\ell-1}}(GL_{N(r-1)},k). \end{array} \tag{4.1.5}$$

The proposition now follows since the maps of (4.1.2) are maps of k-algebras and (4.1.5) implies the commutativity of (4.1.2) on generators. □

Proposition 4.1 enables the following extension from GL_N to unipotent groups of the form U/Γ_v.

Proposition 4.2. *Adopt the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 2.4. Define the map*

$$(-)^{[p]} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \to \ S^*(U_{(r-1)}) \tag{4.2.1}$$

by sending $X_\beta(r-1), Y_\beta(r-1)$ to 0; for $0 \le \ell < r-1$, by

$$X_\beta(\ell) \in \mathfrak{u}^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}] \ \mapsto \ (X_\beta(\ell))^p \in S^p(\mathfrak{gl}_N^{(r-1)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-2}]) \subset S^*U, r-1)$$

and by sending $Y_\beta(\ell) \in (\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}])^{(\ell+1)\#}[2]$.

Then $(-)^{[p]}$ fits in the P_J-equivariant commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^*(U_{(r)}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{U,r}} & H^\bullet(U_{(r)},k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle res} \\ S^*(U_{(r-1)}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{U,r-1}} & H^\bullet(U_{(r-1)},k). \end{array} \tag{4.2.2}$$

Moreover, for any Γ_v in the descending central series for U and for $S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$ as in Definition 1.9, the commutative square (4.2.2) restricts to the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{U/\Gamma_v,r}} & H^\bullet(U_{(r)},k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle res} \\ S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r-1)}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{U/\Gamma_v,r-1}} & H^\bullet(U_{(r-1)},k). \end{array} \tag{4.2.3}$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{gl}_N^\# \to \mathfrak{u}^\#$ is surjective, to prove the commutativity of (4.2.2) it suffices to prove that restriction determines a map from the square (4.1.2) to the square (4.2.2) resulting in a commutative cube. This is the case thanks to the commutativity of (2.5.1), the evident restriction property of $(-)^{[p]}$ as defined in Proposition 4.1 and in the statement of this corollary, and the naturality with respect to $U \to GL_N$ of restriction to $U_{(r)} \to GL_{N(r)}$ and further restriction to $U_{(r-1)} \to GL_{N(r-1)}$.

The commutativity of (4.2.3) follows from the commutativity of (4.2.2) once one verifies that the map $(-)^{[p]} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \to S^*(U_{(r)})$ restricts to a self-map $(-)^{[p]} : S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) \to S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$. This follows by restricting to generating spaces $(\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v)^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]$ and $(\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_2)^{(\ell+1)\#}[2]$ and using the T-equivariance of $(-)^{[p]} : S^*(U_{(r)}) \to S^*(U_{(r)})$. □

We obtain the following somewhat surprising result concerning inverse limits with respect to r, where the connecting maps are given by $(-)^{[p]}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Adopt the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 and notation of Proposition 2.9. For any $v \geq 2$ and for any $r \geq 1$, the following square commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r+1)}) & \longrightarrow & S^*((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r+1)}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p]}} \\ S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)}) & \longrightarrow & S^*((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(r)}); \end{array} \tag{4.3.1}$$

in this square, the horizontal arrows are induced by the quotient map $U/\Gamma_{v+1} \to U/\Gamma_v$. Consequently, there are natural maps for any $v \geq 2$ between limits constructed using connecting maps $(-)^{[p]}$:

$$\varprojlim_s \{S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(s)})\} \ \to \ \varprojlim_s \{S^*((U/\Gamma_{v+1})_{(s)})\}. \tag{4.3.2}$$

These maps are isomorphisms

Assuming the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, the maps (4.3.2) determine a surjective map

$$\varprojlim_s \{H^*((U/\Gamma_2)_{(s)}, k)_{red}\} \twoheadrightarrow \varprojlim_s \{H^*(U_{(s)}, k)_{red}\}. \tag{4.3.3}$$

Proof. The commutativity of (4.3.1) when restricted to $(\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v)^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]$ follows from the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v)^{(r+1)\#}[2p^{r-\ell}] & \xrightarrow{\ \hookrightarrow\ } & (\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_{v+1})^{(r+1)\#}[2p^{r-\ell}] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{[p]}} \\ S^p((\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_v)^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) & \xrightarrow{\ \hookrightarrow\ } & S^p((\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_{v+1})^{(r)\#}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]). \end{array} \tag{4.3.4}$$

The verification of the proof of the commutativity of (4.3.1) when restricted to $(\mathfrak{u}/\gamma_2)^{(\ell+1)\#}[2]$ is even simpler.

Observe that

$$\varprojlim_r S^{>0}(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}]) \ = \ 0,$$

where $S^{>0}$ denotes the ideal of positive degree elements of the symmetric algebra S^*. Namely, in the notation of Proposition 4.2, any element homogeneous of degree $d, 0 < d < p^s$ in $S^*(\oplus_{\ell=0}^{r-1}(\gamma_v/\gamma_{v+1})^{\#(r)}[2p^{r-\ell-1}])$ does not lie the image of $(-)^{[s]} : S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r+s)}) \to S^*((U/\Gamma_v)_{(r)})$. By taking the limit with respect to r of the isomorphisms (1.10.4) (for each cohomological degree), we conclude the isomorphisms (4.3.2).

To prove the surjectivity statement (4.3.3), we use the well known fact (a form of the "Mittag-Leffler Lemma") that the inverse limit of a sequence of short exact sequences of finite dimensional vector spaces is again short exact. Although the algebras which figure in the limits we consider are infinite dimensional vector spaces, they are finite dimensional in each degree and the maps we consider are graded.

We consider the following commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varprojlim_s S^*((U/\Gamma_2)_{(s)}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{U/\Gamma_2}} & \varprojlim_s H^\bullet((U/\Gamma_2)_{(s)},k) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow res \\ \varprojlim_s S^*(U_{(s)}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \varprojlim_s H^\bullet(U_{(s)},k)_{red} \end{array} \tag{4.3.5}$$

whose left vertical arrow is a composition of isomorphisms of the form (4.3.2), whose right vertical arrow is the restriction map, and whose horizontal arrows are the limits of the maps of Definition 2.7. (By definition of $\eta_{U,r}$, its restriction of U/Γ_2 is $\eta_{U/\Gamma_2,r}$. Theorem 3.1 tells us that the lower horizontal map is surjective. The fact that the composition of the left vertical and bottom horizontal maps is a surjective implies that the right vertical map is also surjective. □

Theorem 3.1 enables the following description of the image of the restriction map $H^*(U,k) \to H^*(U_{(r)},k)_{red}$.

Corollary 4.4. *Adopt the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 and notation of Proposition 2.9. Then each of the following maps have the same image:*

(1) $\varprojlim_{s\geq r} H^*(U_{(s)},k)_{red} \ \to \ H^*(U_{(r)},k)_{red}$
(2) $H^*(U,k) \ \to \ H^*(U_{(r)},k)_{red}$
(3) $H^*((U/\Gamma_2)_{(r)},k) \ \to \ H^*(U_{(r)},k)_{red}$.

Proof. The proof is a straight-forward diagram chase of the following diagram together with Theorem 4.3:

(4.4.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*((U/\Gamma_2)_{(r)},k) & \longrightarrow & H^*(U/\Gamma_2,k) & \longrightarrow & H^*(U,k) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \varprojlim_{s\geq r} H^*((U/\Gamma_2)_{(s)},k)_{red} & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_{s\geq r} H^*(U_{(s)},k)_{red} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & H^*((U/\Gamma_2)_{(r)},k)_{red} & \longrightarrow & H^*(U_{(r)},k)_{red} \end{array}$$

We use the fact that the image of $H^*((U/\Gamma_2)_{(r)},k)$ (occurring in the upper left corner) in $H^*(U_{(r)},k)_{red}$ equals the image of the bottom horizontal arrow because the top horizontal arrow is split injective. This enables us to conclude that the image of (3) is contained in the image of (1). Theorem 4.3 tells us that the middle horizontal map is surjective, so that the image of (1) is contained in the image of (3). The fact that the composition of the middle vertical maps is surjective implies the equality of images (2) and (3). □

We conclude with a brief discussion of $H^*(U,k)$. Although Theorem 4.5 tells us that $H^*(U,k) \to \varprojlim_r H^*(U_{(r)},k)$ is injective, Question 4.7 emphasizes our lack of understanding of nilpotence in $H^*(U,k)$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let G be a simple algebraic group provided with a choice of Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ with maximal torus T and unipotent radical U. Let $U_1 \subset U$ be*

T-stable closed subgroup, $U_2 \subset U_1$ a T-stable, normal closed subgroup of U_1, and consider $U' \equiv U_1/U_2$. The natural map

$$H^*(U',k) \ \to \ \varprojlim_r H^*(U'_{(r)},k)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We utilize the A-J spectral sequence of Proposition 1.5 (together with the action of T on this spectral sequence).

Let $0 \neq \zeta \in H^d(U',k)$ be a T-eigenvector of weight $\omega = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} w_m\alpha_m, w_m \geq 0$, an element in the positive cone of the root lattice for $\mathfrak{u}' = Lie(U')$; here, $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_\ell$ are the simple roots determined by $B \subset G$. One verifies by inspection that the restriction map ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U') \ \to \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U'_{(r)})$ is an isomorphism of ω-weight spaces $({}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U)')_\omega \ \to \ ({}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U'_{(r)})_\omega$ provided that p^{r-1} is greater than any of $w_1,\dots,w_\ell$. Namely, using (1.5.2), we can see that this condition on each w_i implies that there can be no contribution fro a tensor factor of the form $(S^*(\mathfrak{g}^\#))^{(i)}$ or $(\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}^\#))^{(j)}$ with either $i \geq r$ or $j \geq r$. Observe that the spectral sequences $\{{}^{AJ}E_s^{i,j}(U'), s \geq 1\}$ and $\{{}^{AJ}E_s^{i,j}(U'_{(r)}),\ s \geq 1\}$ split (additively) as a direct sum of spectral sequences indexed by the weights in the positive cone of the root lattice for $\mathfrak{u}'$.

This implies that the restriction map induces an isomorphism $(H^*(U',k))_\omega \to (H^*(U'_{(r)},k))_\omega$ whenever p^{r-1} is greater than $max\{w_i\}$, the maximum of $w_1,\dots,w_\ell$ in the expression for ω. Thus, the restriction map $(H^*(U',k))_\omega \to \varprojlim_r (H^*(U'_{(r)},k))_\omega$ is an isomorphism for all weights ω in the positive cone of the root lattice for $\mathfrak{u}'$, so that $H^*(U',k) \ \to \ \varprojlim_r H^*(U'_{(r)},k)$ is also an isomorphism. □

Corollary 4.6. *Retain the notation of Theorem 4.5 and let M be a finite dimensional rational P-module. Then the natural map*

$$H^*(U,M) \ \to \ \varprojlim_r H^*(U_{(r)},M)$$

is injective. Moreover, if $\zeta_r \in H^d(U_{(r)},M)$ is the restriction of some $\zeta_s \in H^*(U_{(s)},M)$ for all $s \geq r$, then there exists some $\zeta \in H^d(U,M)$ which restricts to ζ_r.

Proof. We repeat the argument of the proof of Theorem 4.5 for A-J spectral sequences $\{{}^{AJ}E_s^{i,j}(U,M), s \geq 1\}$ and $\{{}^{AJ}E_s^{i,j}(U_{(r)},M), s \geq 1\}$ as in [1, 9.13, 2.14]. The action of U on the associated graded group of M is trivial, so that the E_1 terms are obtained from those for coefficients equal to k by tensoring with M. These spectral sequences now split as a direct sum of spectral sequences indexed by weights given as the sum of a weight of M and an element in the positive cone of the root lattice for $\mathfrak{u}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.5, we conclude that the restriction map ${}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U,M) \ \to \ {}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U_{(r)},M)$ is an isomorphism of ω-weight spaces $({}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U,M))_\omega \ \to \ ({}^{AJ}E_1^{*,*}(U_{(r)},M))_\omega$ provided that p^{r-i} does not divide the coefficient of the linear expansion of any weight of the form $w + w'$, where w' is a weight of M. The remainder of the proof is a repetition of that of Theorem 4.5. □

Question 4.7. *Theorem 4.5 suggests two questions concerning the relationship between the multiplicative structure of $H^*(U,k)$ and that of $H^*(U_{(r)},k)$. Let $i_r : U_{(r)} \to U$ be the natural embedding.*

(1) For U as in Theorem 4.5, does there exist a non-nilpotent cohomology class $\alpha \in H^*(U,k)$ each of whose restrictions $i_r^*(\alpha) \in H^*(U_{(r)},k)$ is nilpotent?

(2) Under what conditions is the image of of the restriction map $H^*(U,k) \to H^*(U_{(r)},k)$ finitely generated?

References

[1] H. Andersen and J. Jantzen, *Cohomology of induced representations for algebraic groups*, Math. Ann. **269** (1985), 487-525.

[2] J. Alperin and L. Evens, *Representations, resolutions, and Quillen's dimension theorem*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **22** (1981), 1 - 9.

[3] H. Azad, M. Barry, G Seitz, *On the structure of parabolic subgroups*, Comm. Algebra **18** (1990), 551-562.

[4] P. Bardsley, R. Richardson, *Étale slices for algebraic transformation groups in characteristic p*. Proc. London Math Soc **51** (1985), 295-317.

[5] J. Carlson, *The varieties and the cohomology ring of a module*, J. Algebra **85** (1983), 101-143.

[6] E. Cline, B. Parshall, L. Scott, Cohomology, hyperalgebras, and representations, J. Alg. **63** (1980), 98 - 123.

[7] E. Cline, B. Parshall, L. Scott, W. van der Kallen, Rational and generic cohomology, Invent. Math **39** (1977), 143-163.

[8] E. Friedlander, *Support varieties for rational representations*, Compositio Math **151** (2015), 765-792.

[9] E. Friedlander, *Filtrations, 1-parameter subgroups, and rational injectivity*, arXiv.14083913

[10] E. Friedlander, *Rational cohomology and support varieties for algebraic groups*. In preparation.

[11] E. Friedlander, B. Barshall, On the cohomology of algebraic and related finite groups, Invent. Math **74** (1983), 85-117.

[12] E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, Π-*supports for modules for finite group schemes*, Duke. Math. J. **139** (2007), 317–368.

[13] E. Friedlander, A. Suslin, Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field, Invent. Math. **127**(1997), 209-270.

[14] R. Guralnick and S. Garibaldi, Personal communication.

[15] G. Hochschild, J.-P. Serre, *Cohomology of group extensions*, Trans. A.M.S. **74** (1953), 110-134.

[16] J.C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic groups. Second edition*, Math Surveys and Monographs **107**, AMS (2003).

[17] T. Kudo, *A transgression theorem*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyusyu Univ **9** (1956), 79-81.

[18] G. Malle and D. Testerman, *Linear algebraic groups and finite groups of Lie type*, Cambridge University Press, 2011.

[19] H. Matsumura, Commutative Algebra, Benjamin, N.Y (1970).

[20] J. P. May, *The cohomology of restricted Lie algebras and of Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **3** (1966), 123-146.

[21] D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring: I*, Ann. of Math **94**(1971), 549-572.

[22] D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring: II*, Ann. of Math **94**(1971), 573-602.

[23] D. Quillen, *On the cohomology and K-theory of the general linear groups over a finite field*, Ann. of Math **96**(1972), 552-586.

[24] D. Quillen, B. Venkov, *Cohomoloy of finite groups and elementary abelian subgroups*, Topology **11** (1972), 317-318.

[25] R.W. Richardson, Jr, *Conjugacy classes in Lie algebras and algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math **86** (1967), 1 - 15.

[26] J.-P. Serre, *Sur la dimension cohomlogique des groupes profinis*, Topology **3** (1965), 413-420.

[27] G. Seitz, *Unipotent elements, tilting modules, and saturation*, Invent. Math. **141** (2000), 467-502.

[28] P. Sobaje, *Exponentiation of commuting nilpotent varieties*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **219**, (2015), 2206 - 2217.

[29] A. Suslin, E. Friedlander, C. Bendel, *Infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups and cohomology*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 693-728.
[30] A. Suslin, E. Friedlander, C. Bendel *Support varieties for infinitesimal group schemes*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997), 729-759.

Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA
E-mail address: ericmf@usc.edu
E-mail address: eric@math.northwestern.edu